



Unilateral Absolute Blindness: Prevalence Causes and Profile in a Tertiary Ophthalmic Out-Patient Nigerian Population

Stella Ngozi Onwubiko ^{1*}
NkiruZuada Nwachukwu ¹
Boniface IkennaEze¹

¹Department of Ophthalmology, University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital, PMB 01129, Ituku-OzallaEnugu, Nigeria

Abstract

Objective

To determine the prevalence, causes and profile of unilateral absolute blindness in the University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital Enugu, Nigeria.

Methods

This was a cross-sectional, descriptive, hospital-based survey involving 119 participants with unilateral absolute blindness (no perception of light) out of the 14,439 patients, who attended the ophthalmic clinic from 1st December 2009 to 28th February 2011. Information on their socio-demographic characteristics and clinical ophthalmic profile were collected using a close-ended, interviewer-administered questionnaire. Causes of the absolute blindness were ascertained following ophthalmic evaluation. Data was analysed using SPSS 21.

Results

The participants comprised of 64 males and 55 females with a mean age of 51.52 ± 22.16 SD years, and age range 2 to 93. They had at least a primary school education, were predominantly farmers and lived in rural areas. The prevalence of unilateral absolute blindness was 0.82%, (0.44% in males, and 0.38% in females). Glaucoma, 42 (35%) and trauma 23 (19.3%) were the common causes of absolute blindness. The majority of the participants had absolute blindness for more than three months (84.1%) with diseased fellow eyes (66.4%). Causes of disease in the fellow eyes included glaucoma, cataract, aphakia and refractive error.

Conclusion

The prevalence of unilateral absolute blindness is significant in the study area. These patients are on verge of being bilaterally and irreversibly blind from glaucoma. Interestingly, cataract, aphakia and refractive error are amenable to cost effective treatments. Appropriate public eye health measures to forestall this tragedy, particularly targeting poorly educated farmers in the rural areas are therefore advised.

Key words

Unilateral Absolute Blindness, Causes, Prevalence, Nigeria

Introduction

The unilateral visual loss has considerable impact on quality of life [1,2]. Though the burden is worse with bilateral loss [2], it should be noted that diseases like glaucoma and age-related macular degeneration (AMD), common causes of irreversible blindness worldwide are usually asymmetrical. Timely intervention is thus sight-saving. Few studies have investigated the causes of unilateral visual loss with varying definitions of blindness and the consequent variable prevalence, ranging from 1 to 7.8 [3-5]. Amblyopia, AMD, diabetic retinopathy (DR) were common causes among an elderly Danish population [3]. Corneal diseases and cataract were reported in urban India [4] while in Oman [5], phthisis bulbi, and glaucoma were the main causes of unilateral loss. To best knowledge of the researchers, studies' exploring the prevalence, causes and profile of absolute blindness has not been done within the study area and the importance of such data in eye health policy planning where access to eye care services is an issue cannot be over emphasised. Its relevance in line with the global initiative of elimination of avoidable blindness, Vision 2020 is quite obvious. Hence, the decision to embark on this study.

Article Information

DOI: 10.31021/oj.20201104
Article Type: Research
Journal Type: Open Access
Volume: 1 **Issue:** 1
Manuscript ID: EGR -1-104
Publisher: Boffin Access Limited

Received Date: 19 January 2020
Accepted Date: 20 February 2020
Published Date: 21 February 2020

*Corresponding author:

Stella Ngozi Onwubiko
Department of Ophthalmology, University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital
PMB 01129, Ituku-Ozalla, Enugu, Nigeria
Tel: +234-803-7434803
E-mail: stella.onwubiko@unn.edu.ng

Citation: Onwubiko SN, Nwachukwu N, IkennaEze B. Unilateral Absolute Blindness: Prevalence Causes and Profile in a Tertiary Ophthalmic Out-Patient Nigerian Population. Eye Glaucoma Res;2020 Jan;1(1):104.

Copyright: © 2020 Stella NO, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 international License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Methods

Background

The University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital (UNTH), Enugu, is one of the first generation tertiary health facilities in Nigeria, established in 1971. It is located in Enugu state, within the tropical rainforest climatic zone, and the southeast geopolitical zone of Nigeria. UNTH provides tertiary health care services in all major medical and surgical specialties, undertake undergraduate and postgraduate medical training, and conducts research.

UNTH's Ophthalmology Department is staffed by consultant and trainee ophthalmologists, optometrists, ophthalmic technician, and ophthalmic nurses. It provides inpatient and outpatient promotive, preventive, curative and rehabilitative eye care services to the inhabitants of Enugu state, the other four states in the southeast geopolitical zone, and beyond.

Eligibility

Ophthalmic patients with visual acuity of no perception of light (NPL) in one eye who attended the eye clinic during the study period and voluntarily gave an informed consent to participation.

Ethics

Prior to the start of the study, ethics clearance compliant with 1964 Helsinki Declaration, was obtained from University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital's Medical and Health Research Ethics Committee (Institutional Review Board).

Study Period

The study was conducted in 1st December 2009 to 28th February 2011.

Study Design

This was a cross-sectional, descriptive, hospital-based survey involving 119 participants with NPL out of the 14,439 patients, who attended the ophthalmic clinic during the study period. Information on their socio-demographic characteristics and clinical ophthalmic profile were collected using a close-ended, interviewer-administered questionnaire. Causes of the absolute blindness were ascertained following ophthalmic evaluation by the Researchers.

Study instrument

This was a pre-tested, 22-item questionnaire comprising two sections. Firstly, the sociodemographic information, place of residence and its distance to the nearest eye care facility were sought. Secondly, the clinic ophthalmic profile of the affected eyes and the fellow eyes were inquired.

Study definition

- Absolute blindness means a visual acuity of no perception of light.
- Trauma: any form of injury to the eyes directly leading to blindness.
- Unorthodox: TEM.
- TEM –traditional eye medications: all sorts of things such as unrefined herbs, oil, powder, concoctions, and other unbranded liquids, instilled into the eyes or taken orally aimed at treating an eye disease.

Data management

Data were cleaned, edited, coded and analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software for windows, version 21.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA). Data were subsequently categorised by socio-demographic variables and subjected to descriptive statistical evaluation to yield frequencies, percentages, and proportions.

Results

The participants comprised of 64 males and 55 females with a mean age of 51.52 ± 22.16 SD years, (age range 2 to 93), male 51.28 ± 22.99 SD years, (3 to 93), female 51.80 ± 21.36 SD years (2 to 89). More than 60% were aged 60 years and above.

The age and sex distribution is as shown in (Table 1).

The participants had at least a primary school education, were predominantly farmers and live in rural areas. Their socioeconomic characteristics are shown in (Table 2).

A total of 14,439 patients (males 6,607, females 7,832) was seen during the study period. Out of these, 119 had an absolute blindness with a prevalence of 0.82%, (0.44% male, and 0.38% females).

Glaucoma, 42 (35%) and ocular trauma 23 (19.3%) were the commonest cause of absolute blindness. Other causes are as shown in (Table 3).

The majority of the participants had absolute blindness for more than three months (84.1%) with diseased fellow eyes (66.4%). The participants' clinical profile is as shown in (Table 4).

Significant causes of disease in the fellow eyes were glaucoma, cataract, aphakia and refractive error.

Discussion

The participants in this hospital-based survey comprised of 64 males and 55 females with a mean age of 51.52 ± 22.16 SD years, and a range of 2 to 93 years. More than 60% were aged 60 years and above. This demographic profile and study setting are similar to the Oman survey [5] though it had more females than males, but contrasts with the population-based south Indian survey [6] with a mean age of 27.4 years and the Danish [3] which investigated only the elderly, (60 to 80 years). This implies that comparison of the findings of this study can be made directly with the Oman [5] but with the other studies; [3,6]. It should be made with caution.

In this study, the prevalence of unilateral absolute blindness was 0.82%. This compares well with the 1% prevalence reported in Oman [5] but contrasts with the 3.38% in the Danish [3] population, 7.3% in the Blue Mountain study [1], 3.8% in an urban Indian [4] and 7.8% in rural Indian [6] studies. The varying definitions of blindness in these studies and the study settings may explain this difference. The Oman [5] survey as well as the present study defined blindness as no perception of light, while others [1,3,4,6] were based on a variable visual acuity of $\leq 3/30$ to $< 6/18$. Future researches in this area in various locations should be designed based on a standardized protocol to allow for a more robust comparison.

Age (Years)	Sex		N (%), n=119
	Male	Female	
1-10	4	3	7 (5.9)
11-20	2	5	7 (5.9)
21-30	12	4	16 (13.4)
31-40	4	1	5 (4.2)
41-50	4	12	11 (9.2)
61-70	12	7	26 (21.8)
71-80	12	12	24 (20.20)
81-90	11	8	19 (16)
91-100	3	1	4 (3.4)
Total (%)	64 (53.8)	55 (46.2)	119 (100.0)

Table 1: Age and sex distribution

Characteristic	N (%), n=119
Educational status	
None	35 (29.4)
Primary	37 (31.1)
Secondary	21 (17.6)
Tertiary	26 (21.8)
Occupation	
Civil service	10 (8.4)
Trading	14 (11.8)
Farming	30 (25.2)
Artisan	5 (4.2)
Unemployed/retired	4 (3.4)
Others	56 (47.1)
Marital status	
Single	29 (24.4)
Married	60 (50.4)
Widowed	23 (19.3)
Divorced/separated	7 (5.9)
Location of Residence	
Urban	56 (47.1)
Rural	63 (52.9)

Table 2: Socioeconomic distribution

Causes	N (%), n=119
Glaucoma	42 (35)
Trauma	23 (19.3)
RD	7 (5.9)
Pseudophakic bullous keratopathy	6 ((5.0)
Tumor	6 (5.0)
Complicated cataract	5 (4.2)
Toxic optic neuropathy	4 (3.4)
Squamous cell CA conjunctiva	4 (3.4)
Retinoblastoma	3 (2.5)
Post-opt endophthalmitis	3 (2.5)
DR	2 (1.7)
Optic atrophy	2 (1.7)
Pan-ophthalmitis	2 (1.7)
Panuveitis	2 (1.7)
Pathological myopia	2 (1.7)
CRVO + VH	2 (1.7)
Cortical VI	1 (0.8)
Total	119 (100.0)

Table 3: Etiologic distribution of absolute blindness.

Key: RD = retinal detachment, DR= diabetic retinopathy, CRVO= central retinal vein occlusion, VH= vitreous hemorrhage, VI= visual impairment.

Glaucoma, (35%) and ocular trauma (19.3%) were the common causes of absolute blindness in this study. This is comparable with the Oman study [5] which documented glaucoma and phthisis bulbi, a sequel of infection and trauma as the common causes of nil perception of light. In contrast, AMD and DR were reported in Denmark, [3] retinal diseases in Texas [7] and corneal diseases in India [4]. Glaucoma is still a tragedy in developing countries, where late presentation and compliance to medications are major issues as well as cost, fake substandard drugs and resort to traditional / alternative medicines. It is not surprising that it was found to be a common cause of absolute blindness in this study and in Oman [5]. Glaucoma may be more common in the study area than previously thought. More researches investigating its magnitude and burden should be carried out for an effective and efficient eye care planning.

In the diseased fellow eyes of 66.4% of the participants, glaucoma was also found to a major cause in this study and in Oman [5]. Cataract, aphakia and refractive error were other significant causes of disease in the fellow eyes. The Oman study [5] also documented similar findings. These causes, particularly cataract, aphakia and refractive errors are amenable to cost-effective corrections. Therefore, appropriate measures to combat this menace should be put in place. Until the fight against glaucoma is intensified as much as that of cataract and refractive errors in developing countries, realising the aim of Vision 2020, the global initiative for elimination of avoidable blindness remains a mirage.

Conclusion

The prevalence of unilateral absolute blindness is significant in the study area. These patients are on verge of being bilaterally and irreversibly blind from glaucoma. Interestingly, cataract, aphakia and refractive error are amenable to cost effective treatments. Appropriate public eye health measures to forestall this tragedy, particularly targeting poorly educated farmers in the rural areas are therefore advised.

Competing interests: The authors declare no real or potential competing interests in this work.

Characteristic	N(%), n=119
Laterality of absolute blindness	
RE	57 (47.9)
LE	54 (45.4)
BE	8 (6.7)
Associated pain in the blind eye/s	
Yes	50 (42)
No	69 (58)
Duration of ocular illness that lead to blindness	
≤ 3 months	19 (16)
>3 months	100 (84.1)
Time to initial presentation for eye care	
≤ 3 months	45 (37.8)
>3 months	74 (62.2)
Type of eye care sought	
Orthodox	106 (89.1)
Unorthodox	4 (3.4)
Both	9 (7.6)
Status of fellow eye in mono-ocular blindness	
Normal	40 (33.6)
Diseased	79 (66.4)

Table 4: Participants' clinical characteristics

References

1. Chia EM, Mitchell P, Rochtchina E, Foran S, and Wang J J, et al. Unilateral visual impairment and health related quality of life: the Blue Mountains Eye Study. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2003 Apr;87(4):392-395.
2. Vu HT, Keeffe JE, McCarty CA, Taylor HR. Impact of unilateral and bilateral vision loss on quality of life. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2005 Mar;89(3):360-363.
3. Buch H, Vinding T, La Cour M, Nielsen NV. The prevalence and causes of bilateral and unilateral blindness in an elderly urban Danish population. The Copenhagen City Eye Study. *Acta Ophthalmol Scand*. 2001 Oct;79(5):441-449.
4. Dandona L, Dandona R, Srinivas M, Mandal P, McCarty CA, Rao GN. Unilateral visual impairment in an urban population in Southern India. *Indian J Ophthalmol* 2000 Mar;48(1):59-64.
5. Bansal RK, Khandekar R, Nagendra P, Kurup P. Magnitude and causes of unilateral absolute blindness in a region of Oman: A hospital-based study. *Eur J Ophthalmol*. 2007 May-Jun;17(3):418-423.
6. Marmamula S, Khanna RC, Rao GN. Unilateral visual impairment in rural south India-Andhra Pradesh Eye Disease Study (APEDS). *Int J Ophthalmol*. 2016 May;9(5): 763-767.
7. Apte RS, Scheufele TA, Blomquist PH. Etiology of blindness in an urban community hospital setting. *Ophthalmology* 2001 Apr;108: 693-696.