

A Class of One-Step Hybrid Third Derivative Block Method for the Direct Solution of Initial Value Problems of Second-Order Ordinary Differential Equations

Skwame Y¹
Raymond D^{2*}¹Department of Mathematical Science, Adamawa State University, Mubi-Nigeria²Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Federal University, Wukari-Nigeria**Abstract**

In this paper, we consider the development of a class of one-step hybrid third derivative block method with three off-grid points for the direct solution of initial value problems of second order Ordinary Differential Equations. We adopted method of interpolation and collocation of power series approximate solution to generate the continuous hybrid linear multistep method, which was evaluated at grid points to give a continuous block method. The discrete block method was recovered when the continuous block method was evaluated at selected grid points. The basic properties of the method was investigated and was found to be zero-stable, consistent and convergent. The efficiency of the method was tested on some stiff equations and was found to give better approximation than the existing method, which we compared our result with.

Keywords

One-Step; Hybrid Block Method; Third Derivative; Stiff Odes; Collocation and Interpolation Method.

Introduction

This paper solves second order initial value problems in the form

$$y'' = f(x, y(x), y'(x)), \quad y(x_0) = y_0, \quad y'(x_0) = y'_0 \quad (1)$$

where f is continuous within the interval of integration. Solving higher order derivatives method by reducing them to a system of first-order approach involves more functions to evaluate which then leads to a computational burden as in [1-3]. The setbacks of this approach had been reported by scholars, among them are Bun and Vasil'yer and Awoyemi et al [4,5].

The method of collocation and interpolation of the power series approximation to generate continuous linear multistep method has been adopted by many scholars; among them are Fatunla, Awoyemi, Olabode, Vigor Aquilar and Ramos, Adeniran et al, Abdelrahim et al, Mohammad et al to mention a few [5-11]. Block method generates independent solution at selected grid points without overlapping. It is less expensive in terms of number of function evaluation compared to predictor corrector method, moreover it possess the properties of Runge Kutta method for being self-starting and does not require starting values. Some of the authors that proposed block method are: [12-17].

In this paper, we developed a on e-step hybrid third derivative method with three offgrid, which is implemented in block method. The method is self-starting and does not require starting values or predictors. The implementation of the method is cheaper than the predictor-corrector method. This method harnesses the properties of hybrid and third derivative, this makes it efficient for stiff problems.

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we discuss the methods and the materials for the development of the method. Section 3 considers analysis of the basis properties of the method which include convergence and stability region, numerical experiments where the efficiency of the derived method is tested on some numerical examples and discussion of results. Lastly, we concluded in section 4.

Derivation of the Method

We consider a power series approximate solution of the form

$$y(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{2r+s-1} a_j \left(\frac{x-x_n}{h} \right)^j \quad (2)$$

where $r=2$ and $s=5$ are the numbers of interpolation and collocation points respectively, is considered to be a solution to (1).

The second and third derivative of (2) gives

Article Information

DOI: 10.31021/acs.20181109

Article Type: Research Article

Journal Type: Open Access

Volume: 1 Issue: 2

Manuscript ID: ACS-1-109

Publisher: Boffin Access Limited

Received Date: 13 February 2018

Accepted Date: 16 April 2018

Published Date: 08 May 2018

Corresponding author:*Raymond D**

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

Federal University, Wukari-Nigeria

Tel. No: 0233207482596

E-mail: raymond@fuwukari.edu.ng

Citation: Skwame Y, Raymond D. A Class of One-Step Hybrid Third Derivative Block Method for the Direct Solution of Initial Value Problems of Second-Order Ordinary Differential Equations. *Adv Comput Sci.* 2018;1(2):109

Copyright: © 2018 Skwame Y, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 international License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

$$y''(x) = \sum_{j=2}^{2r+s-1} \frac{a_j j!}{h^2 (j-2)!} \left(\frac{x-x_n}{h}\right)^{j-2} = f(x, y, y')$$

$$y'''(x) = \sum_{j=3}^{2r+s-1} \frac{a_j j!}{h^3 (j-3)!} \left(\frac{x-x_n}{h}\right)^{j-3} = g(x, y, y')$$

Substituting (3) into (1) gives

$$f(x, y, y'') = \sum_{j=2}^{2r+s-1} \frac{a_j j!}{h^2 (j-2)!} \left(\frac{x-x_n}{h}\right)^{j-2} + \sum_{j=3}^{2r+s-1} \frac{a_j j!}{h^3 (j-3)!} \left(\frac{x-x_n}{h}\right)^{j-3} \tag{4}$$

Collocating (4) at all points $x_{n+r}, r=0\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)1$ and Interpolating Equation (2) at $x_{n+s}, s=0, \frac{1}{4}$, gives a system of non linear equation of the form

$$AX = U \tag{5}$$

Where

$$A = [a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7, a_8, a_9, a_{10}, a_{11}]^T,$$

$$U = \left[y_n, y_{n+\frac{1}{4}}, f_n, f_{n+\frac{1}{4}}, f_{n+\frac{1}{2}}, f_{n+\frac{3}{4}}, f_{n+1}, g_n, g_{n+\frac{1}{4}}, g_{n+\frac{1}{2}}, g_{n+\frac{3}{4}}, g_{n+1} \right]^T,$$

and

1	x_n	x_n^2	x_n^3	x_n^4	x_n^5	x_n^6	x_n^7	x_n^8	x_n^9	x_n^{10}	x_n^{11}	$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \\ a_4 \\ a_5 \\ a_6 \\ a_7 \\ a_8 \\ a_9 \\ a_{10} \\ a_{11} \end{bmatrix}$
1	$x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}$	$x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^2$	$x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^3$	$x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^4$	$x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^5$	$x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^6$	$x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^7$	$x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^8$	$x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^9$	$x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^{10}$	$x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^{11}$	
0	0	2	$6x_n$	$12x_n^2$	$20x_n^3$	$30x_n^4$	$42x_n^5$	$56x_n^6$	$72x_n^7$	$90x_n^8$	$110x_n^9$	
0	0	2	$6x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}$	$12x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^2$	$20x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^3$	$30x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^4$	$42x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^5$	$56x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^6$	$72x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^7$	$90x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^8$	$110x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^9$	
0	0	2	$6x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}$	$12x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^2$	$20x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^3$	$30x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^4$	$42x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^5$	$56x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^6$	$72x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^7$	$90x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^8$	$110x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^9$	
0	0	2	$6x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}$	$12x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^2$	$20x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^3$	$30x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^4$	$42x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^5$	$56x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^6$	$72x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^7$	$90x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^8$	$110x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^9$	
0	0	2	$6x_{n+1}$	$12x_{n+1}^2$	$20x_{n+1}^3$	$30x_{n+1}^4$	$42x_{n+1}^5$	$56x_{n+1}^6$	$72x_{n+1}^7$	$90x_{n+1}^8$	$110x_{n+1}^9$	
0	0	0	6	$24x_n$	$60x_n^2$	$120x_n^3$	$210x_n^4$	$336x_n^5$	$504x_n^6$	$720x_n^7$	$990x_n^8$	
0	0	0	6	$24x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}$	$60x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^2$	$120x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^3$	$210x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^4$	$336x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^5$	$504x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^6$	$720x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^7$	$990x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}^8$	
0	0	0	6	$24x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}$	$60x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^2$	$120x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^3$	$210x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^4$	$336x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^5$	$504x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^6$	$720x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^7$	$990x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^8$	
0	0	0	6	$24x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}$	$60x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^2$	$120x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^3$	$210x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^4$	$336x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^5$	$504x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^6$	$720x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^7$	$990x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}^8$	
0	0	0	6	$24x_{n+1}$	$60x_{n+1}^2$	$120x_{n+1}^3$	$210x_{n+1}^4$	$336x_{n+1}^5$	$504x_{n+1}^6$	$720x_{n+1}^7$	$990x_{n+1}^8$	

Solving (5) for a_i 's using Gaussian elimination method, gives a continuous hybrid linear multistep method of the form

$$y(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{1}{4}} \alpha_j y_{n+j} + h^2 \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{1}{4}} \beta_j f_{n+j} + \beta_k f_{n+k} \right] + h^3 \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{1}{4}} \gamma_j g_{n+j} + \gamma_k g_{n+k} \right], k = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4} \tag{6}$$

Differentiating (6) once yields

$$p'(x) = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{1}{4}} \alpha_j y_{n+j} + h \left[\sum_{j=\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}} \beta_j f_{n+j} + \beta_j f_{n+j} \right] + h^2 \left[\sum_{j=\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}} \gamma_j g_{n+j} + \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{1}{4}} \gamma_j g_{n+j} \right] \tag{7}$$

Where

$$\alpha_0 = 1 - \frac{4(x-x_n)}{h}$$

$$\alpha_{\frac{1}{4}} = \frac{4(x-x_n)}{h}$$

Equation (6) is evaluated at the non-interpolating points and (7) at all points, produces the following general equations in block form

$$= -\frac{2602339}{38320128}(x-x_n)h + \frac{1}{2}(x-x_n) - \frac{485}{36}(x-x_n)$$

$$+ \frac{4031}{54} \frac{(x-x_n)^5}{h^3} - \frac{85862}{405} \frac{(x-x_n)^6}{h^4} + \frac{208100}{567} \frac{(x-x_n)^7}{h^5} - \frac{75920}{189} \frac{(x-x_n)^8}{h^6} + \frac{66080}{243} \frac{(x-x_n)^9}{h^7} - \frac{126464}{1215} \frac{(x-x_n)^{10}}{h^8} + \frac{5120}{297} \frac{(x-x_n)^{11}}{h^9}$$

$$\beta_{\frac{1}{4}} = -\frac{148231}{11975040}(x-x_n)h - \frac{128}{9} \frac{(x-x_n)^4}{h^2}$$

$$+ \frac{7168}{45} \frac{(x-x_n)^5}{h^3} - \frac{270592}{405} \frac{(x-x_n)^6}{h^4}$$

$$+ \frac{841216}{567} \frac{(x-x_n)^7}{h^5}$$

$$- \frac{364288}{189} \frac{(x-x_n)^8}{h^6} + \frac{358912}{243} \frac{(x-x_n)^9}{h^7}$$

$$- \frac{753664}{1215} \frac{(x-x_n)^{10}}{h^8} + \frac{32768}{297} \frac{(x-x_n)^{11}}{h^9}$$

$$\beta_{\frac{1}{2}} = -\frac{1807}{80640}(x-x_n)h - \frac{12}{h^2}(x-x_n)^4$$

$$- \frac{456}{5} \frac{(x-x_n)^5}{h^3} - \frac{4424}{15} \frac{(x-x_n)^6}{h^4} - \frac{10496}{21} \frac{(x-x_n)^7}{h^5}$$

$$+ \frac{3264}{7} \frac{(x-x_n)^8}{h^6} - \frac{2048}{9} \frac{(x-x_n)^9}{h^7} + \frac{2048}{45} \frac{(x-x_n)^{10}}{h^8}$$

$$\beta_{\frac{3}{4}} = -\frac{243193}{11975040}(x-x_n)h + \frac{128}{9} \frac{(x-x_n)^4}{h^2}$$

$$- \frac{17408}{135} \frac{(x-x_n)^5}{h^3} + \frac{213248}{405} \frac{(x-x_n)^6}{h^4}$$

$$- \frac{685568}{567} \frac{(x-x_n)^7}{h^5} + \frac{313088}{189} \frac{(x-x_n)^8}{h^6}$$

$$- \frac{326144}{243} \frac{(x-x_n)^9}{h^7} + \frac{720896}{1215} \frac{(x-x_n)^{10}}{h^8}$$

$$- \frac{32768}{297} \frac{(x-x_n)^{11}}{h^9}$$

$$\beta_1 = -\frac{382169}{191600640}(x-x_n)h + \frac{53}{36} \frac{(x-x_n)^4}{h^2}$$

$$- \frac{1241}{90} \frac{(x-x_n)^5}{h^3} + \frac{23758}{405} \frac{(x-x_n)^6}{h^4}$$

$$- \frac{80356}{567} \frac{(x-x_n)^7}{h^5} + \frac{38992}{189} \frac{(x-x_n)^8}{h^6}$$

$$- \frac{43552}{243} \frac{(x-x_n)^9}{h^7} + \frac{103936}{1215} \frac{(x-x_n)^{10}}{h^8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{5120 (x-x_n)^{11}}{297 h^9} \\ \gamma_0 = & -\frac{28343}{18247680} (x-x_n)h^2 + \frac{1}{6} (x-x_n)^3 \\ & -\frac{25 (x-x_n)^4}{18 h} + \frac{209 (x-x_n)^5}{36 h^2} - \frac{398 (x-x_n)^6}{27 h^3} \\ & + \frac{4546 (x-x_n)^7}{189 h^4} - \frac{1600 (x-x_n)^8}{63 h^5} + \frac{1360 (x-x_n)^9}{81 h^6} \\ & - \frac{512 (x-x_n)^{10}}{81 h^7} + \frac{512 (x-x_n)^{11}}{495 h^8} \\ \gamma_{\frac{1}{4}} = & \frac{551}{49896} (x-x_n)h^2 - \frac{16 (x-x_n)^4}{3 h} \\ & + \frac{608 (x-x_n)^5}{15 h^2} - \frac{18848 (x-x_n)^6}{135 h^3} + \frac{7424 (x-x_n)^7}{27 h^4} \\ & - \frac{20768 (x-x_n)^8}{63 h^5} + \frac{19328 (x-x_n)^9}{81 h^6} - \frac{38912 (x-x_n)^{10}}{405 h^7} \\ & + \frac{8192 (x-x_n)^{11}}{495 h^8} \\ \gamma_{\frac{1}{2}} = & \frac{32027}{3548160} (x-x_n)h^2 - \frac{6(x-x_n)^4}{h} \\ & + \frac{264 (x-x_n)^5}{5 h^2} - \frac{3124 (x-x_n)^6}{15 h^3} + \frac{3224 (x-x_n)^7}{7 h^4} \\ & - \frac{608(x-x_n)^8}{h^5} + \frac{4288 (x-x_n)^9}{9 h^6} - \frac{1024 (x-x_n)^{10}}{5 h^7} \\ & + \frac{2048 (x-x_n)^{11}}{55 h^8} \\ \gamma_{\frac{3}{4}} = & \frac{3959}{1596672} (x-x_n)h^2 - \frac{16 (x-x_n)^4}{9 h} \\ & + \frac{736 (x-x_n)^5}{45 h^2} - \frac{9184 (x-x_n)^6}{135 h^3} + \frac{30208 (x-x_n)^7}{189 h^4} \\ & - \frac{14176 (x-x_n)^8}{63 h^5} + \frac{15232 (x-x_n)^9}{81 h^6} - \frac{34816 (x-x_n)^{10}}{405 h^7} \\ & + \frac{8192 (x-x_n)^{11}}{495 h^8} \\ \gamma_1 = & \frac{14339}{127733760} (x-x_n)h^2 - \frac{1}{12} \frac{(x-x_n)^4}{h} \\ & + \frac{47 (x-x_n)^5}{60 h^2} - \frac{452 (x-x_n)^6}{135 h^3} + \frac{1538 (x-x_n)^7}{189 h^4} \\ & - \frac{752 (x-x_n)^8}{63 h^5} + \frac{848 (x-x_n)^9}{81 h^6} - \frac{2048 (x-x_n)^{10}}{405 h^7} \\ & + \frac{512 (x-x_n)^{11}}{495 h^8} \end{aligned}$$

Equation (6) is evaluated at the non-interpolating points

$$\left\{ x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}, x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}, x_{n+1} \right\} \text{ and (7) at all points}$$

$$\left\{ x_n, x_{n+\frac{1}{4}}, x_{n+\frac{1}{2}}, x_{n+\frac{3}{4}}, x_{n+1} \right\}$$

produces the following general equations in block form

$$AY_L = BR_1 + CR_2 + DR_3 + ER_4 + GR_5 \tag{8}$$

Where,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -4 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{4}{h} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{4}{h} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{4}{h} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{4}{h} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{4}{h} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \end{bmatrix} \quad R = \begin{bmatrix} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} y_{n+\frac{1}{4}} \\ y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ y_{n+\frac{3}{4}} \\ y_{n+1} \\ y'_{n+\frac{1}{4}} \\ y'_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ y'_{n+\frac{3}{4}} \\ y'_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{37111h^2}{6967296} \\ \frac{12679h^2}{1161216} \\ \frac{19709h^2}{1161216} \\ \frac{260233h}{38320128} \\ \frac{1961683h}{95800320} \\ \frac{1403419h}{63866880} \\ \frac{2182739h}{95800320} \\ \frac{5021969h}{191600640} \end{bmatrix}, R_2 = [f_n]$$

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{16463h^2}{435456} & \frac{445h^2}{32256} & \frac{2225h^2}{435456} & \frac{3217h^2}{6967296} \\ \frac{6149h^2}{72576} & \frac{767h^2}{10752} & \frac{1403h^2}{72576} & \frac{1381h^2}{1161216} \\ \frac{9925h^2}{72576} & \frac{767h^2}{5376} & \frac{5179h^2}{72576} & \frac{8411h^2}{1161216} \\ -148231h & -1807h & -243193h & -382169h \\ \frac{11975040}{83485h} & \frac{80640}{1489h} & \frac{11975040}{89279h} & \frac{191600640}{137161h} \\ \frac{1197504}{26921h} & \frac{80640}{767h} & \frac{5987520}{103853h} & \frac{95800320}{5303h} \\ \frac{147840}{1156801h} & \frac{5376}{21521h} & \frac{3991680}{165731h} & \frac{2365440}{358217h} \\ \frac{5987520}{2735353h} & \frac{80640}{24817h} & \frac{1197504}{2640391h} & \frac{95800320}{3530299h} \\ \frac{11975040}{80640} & \frac{80640}{11975040} & \frac{11975040}{38320128} & \frac{191600640}{38320128} \end{bmatrix}, R_3 = \begin{bmatrix} f_{n+\frac{1}{4}} \\ f_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ f_{n+\frac{3}{4}} \\ f_{n+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} -17h^3 & -83h^3 & -871h^3 & -599h^3 \\ 9072 & 30720 & 1451520 & 23224320 \\ -3053h^3 & -83h^3 & -347h^3 & -127h^3 \\ 967680 & 15360 & 193536 & 1935360 \\ -29h^3 & -83h^3 & -269h^3 & -1117h^3 \\ 7560 & 15360 & 241920 & 3870720 \\ 551h^2 & 32027h^2 & 3959h^2 & 14339h^2 \\ 49896 & 3548160 & 1596672 & 127733760 \\ -23851h^2 & -12053h^2 & -5755h^2 & -2567h^2 \\ 2280960 & 1774080 & 3193344 & 31933440 \\ -95h^2 & -56677h^2 & -7951h^2 & -5311h^2 \\ 16632 & 3548160 & 2661120 & 42577920 \\ -72269h^2 & -12053h^2 & -123463h^2 & -6439h^2 \\ 15966720 & 1774080 & 15966720 & 31933440 \\ -61h^2 & 32027h^2 & 15701h^2 & -312317h^2 \\ 249480 & 3548160 & 1140480 & 127733760 \end{bmatrix}, R_5 = \begin{bmatrix} g_{n+\frac{1}{4}} \\ g_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ g_{n+\frac{3}{4}} \\ g_{n+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} 4253h^3 \\ 23224320 \\ 23h^3 \\ 60480 \\ 467h^3 \\ 774144 \\ -28343h^2 \\ 18247680 \\ 551h^2 \\ 798336 \\ 3629h^2 \\ 4730880 \\ 3239h^2 \\ 3991680 \\ 25651h^2 \\ 25546752 \end{bmatrix}, R_4 = [g_n]$$

Multiplying equation (8) by the inverse of (A) gives the hybrid block formula of the form

$$I Y_L = \bar{B} R_1 + \bar{C} R_2 + \bar{D} R_3 + \bar{E} R_4 + \bar{G} R_5$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_{n+\frac{1}{4}} \\ y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ y_{n+\frac{3}{4}} \\ y_{n+1} \\ y'_{n+\frac{1}{4}} \\ y'_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ y'_{n+\frac{3}{4}} \\ y'_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & h \\ & 4 \\ & h \\ & 2 \\ & 3h \\ 1 & 4 \\ & h \\ 0 & 1 \\ & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_n \\ y'_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2602339h^2 \\ 153280512 \\ 35h^2 \\ 891 \\ 39015h^2 \\ 630784 \\ 6353h^2 \\ 74844 \\ 1539551h \\ 17418240 \\ 24463h \\ 272160 \\ 6501h \\ 71680 \\ 1601h \\ 17010 \end{bmatrix} [f_n]$$

$$+ \begin{bmatrix} 148231h^2 & 1807h^2 & 243193h^2 & 382169h^2 \\ 47900160 & 322560 & 47900160 & 766402560 \\ 196h^2 & h^2 & 68h^2 & 13h^2 \\ 4455 & 40 & 4455 & 8910 \\ 18531h^2 & 3159h^2 & 6813h^2 & 8469h^2 \\ 197120 & 35840 & 197120 & 3153920 \\ 13952h^2 & 52h^2 & 8578h^2 & 3457h^2 \\ 93555 & 315 & 93555 & 374220 \\ 89371h & 103h & 38341h & 59681h \\ 1088640 & 2520 & 1088640 & 17418240 \\ 1654h & 52h & 394h & 1153h \\ 8505 & 315 & 8505 & 272160 \\ 921h & 81h & 711h & 411h \\ 4480 & 280 & 4480 & 71680 \\ 2048h & 104h & 2048h & 1601h \\ 8505 & 315 & 8505 & 17010 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_{n+\frac{1}{4}} \\ f_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ f_{n+\frac{3}{4}} \\ f_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 28343h^3 \\ 72990720 \\ 1277h^3 \\ 1330560 \\ 9747h^3 \\ 6307840 \\ 269h^2 \\ 124740 \\ 26051h^2 \\ 11612160 \\ 421h^2 \\ 181440 \\ 339h^2 \\ 143360 \\ 29h^2 \\ 11340 \end{bmatrix} [g_n] + \begin{bmatrix} -551h^3 & -32027h^3 & -3959h^3 & -14339h^2 \\ 199584 & 14192640 & 6386688 & 510935040 \\ -41h^3 & -5h^3 & -17h^3 & -109h^2 \\ 5544 & 693 & 9240 & 1330560 \\ -4509h^3 & -19197h^3 & -9h^3 & -27h^2 \\ 394240 & 1576960 & 2464 & 180224 \\ -464h^3 & -10h^3 & -16h^3 & -5h^2 \\ 31185 & 693 & 4455 & 12474 \\ -31207h^2 & -81h^2 & -1243h^2 & -2237h^2 \\ 1451520 & 1520 & 290304 & 11612160 \\ -19h^2 & -h^2 & -31h^2 & -43h^2 \\ 1134 & 40 & 5670 & 181440 \\ -279h^2 & -81h^2 & -183h^2 & -9h^2 \\ 17920 & 1520 & 17920 & 28672 \\ -32h^2 & 0 & 32h^2 & -29h^2 \\ 2835 & 2835 & 2835 & 11340 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} g_{n+\frac{1}{4}} \\ g_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ g_{n+\frac{3}{4}} \\ g_{n+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Analysis of Basic Properties of the Method

Order of the Block

According to [7] the order of the new method in Equation (8) is obtained by using the Taylor series and it is found that the developed method has a uniformly order eleven, with an error constants vector of:

$$C_{11} = \left[6.1829 \times 10^{-14}, 1.752 \times 10^{-13}, 3.0454 \times 10^{-13}, 4.8542 \times 10^{-13}, 4.1791 \times 10^{-13}, 4.8542 \times 10^{-13}, 5.5292 \times 10^{-13}, 9.7083 \times 10^{-13} \right]^T$$

Consistency

The hybrid block method [4] is said to be consistent if it has an order more than or equal to one.

Therefore, our method is consistent.

Zero Stability of Our Method

Definition: A block method is said to be zero-stable if as $h \rightarrow 0$, the root $z_i, i = 1(1)k$ of the first characteristic polynomial $\rho(z) = 0$ that is

$$\rho(z) = \det \left[\sum_{j=0}^k A^{(i)} z^{k-i} \right] = 0$$

Satisfies $|z_i| \leq 1$ and for those roots with $|z_i| = 1$, multiplicity must not exceed two. The block method for $k=1$, with three off grid collocation point expressed in the form

$$\rho(z) = z \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{h}{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{h}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{3h}{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & h \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = z^6(z-1)^2$$

$$\rho(z) = z^6(z-1)^2 = 0,$$

Hence, our method is zero-stable.

Regions of Absolute Stability (RAS)

Using Mat Lab package, we were able to plot the stability region of the block methods (see Figure 1 below).

The stability polynomial for $K=1$ with three offstep point using Scientific Workplace software package we have in the following one:

$$\begin{aligned} &= h^{12} \left(\left(\frac{7219}{15107327262720000} \right) w^4 - \left(\frac{79}{156959244288000} \right) w^3 \right) - h^{11} \left(\left(\frac{3423139}{139591703907532800} \right) w^4 + \left(\frac{1171}{30519853056000} \right) w^3 \right) \\ &- h^{10} \left(\left(\frac{4666483}{3625758543052800} \right) w^3 + \left(\frac{585349}{23930006384148480} \right) w^4 \right) + h^9 \left(\left(\frac{73634299}{2913555972096000} \right) w^3 - \left(\frac{2899283}{1495625399009280} \right) w^3 \right) \\ &- h^8 \left(\left(\frac{12604072069}{14956253990092800} \right) w^4 + \left(\frac{6897035147}{8157956721868800} \right) w^3 \right) - h^7 \left(\left(\frac{775601}{9442079539200} \right) w^4 + \left(\frac{707100649}{109258348953600} \right) w^3 \right) \\ &+ h^6 \left(\left(\frac{1953881}{256927334400} \right) w^4 - \left(\frac{26693444639}{145677798604800} \right) w^3 \right) - h^5 \left(\left(\frac{2850443}{36883123200} \right) w^4 + \left(\frac{357859}{1077753600} \right) w^3 \right) \\ &- h^4 \left(\left(\frac{2587}{4257792} \right) w^4 + \left(\frac{12221035}{1034643456} \right) w^3 \right) + h^3 \left(\left(\frac{25}{1782} \right) w^4 + \left(\frac{269}{114740} \right) w^3 \right) - h^2 \left(\left(\frac{16399}{228096} \right) w^4 + \left(\frac{1009733}{4790016} \right) w^3 \right) + w^4 - w^3 \end{aligned}$$

x-values	Exact Solution	Computed Solution	Error in our method	Error in [13]
0.100	0.90483741803595957316	0.90483741803595957245	7.100E(-19)	1.054712E(-14)
0.200	0.81873075307798185867	0.81873075307798185795	7.200E(-19)	1.776357E(-14)
0.300	0.74081822068171786607	0.74081822068171786534	7.300E(-19)	2.342571E(-14)
0.400	0.67032004603563930074	0.67032004603563930001	7.300E(-19)	2.797762E(-14)
0.500	0.60653065971263342360	0.60653065971263342285	7.500E(-19)	3.130829E(-14)
0.600	0.54881163609402643263	0.54881163609402643185	7.800E(-19)	3.397282E(-14)
0.700	0.49658530379140951470	0.49658530379140951390	8.000E(-19)	3.563816E(-14)
0.800	0.44932896411722159143	0.44932896411722159058	8.500E(-19)	3.674838E(-14)
0.900	0.40656965974059911888	0.40656965974059911099	8.900E(-19)	3.730349E(-14)
1.00	0.36787944117144232160	0.36787944117144232065	9.500E(-19)	3.741452E(-14)

Table 1: Comparison of the proposed method

x-values	Exact Solution	Computed Solution	Error in our method	Error in [16]
.0.100	-0.10517091807564762480	-0.10517091807564762481	1.000E(-20)	8.326679(-17)
0.200	-0.22140275816016983390	-0.22140275816016983391	1.000E(-20)	2.775557(-16)
0.300	-0.34985880757600310400	-0.34985880757600310397	-3.000E(-20)	5.551115(-16)
0.400	-0.49182469764127031780	-0.49182469764127031779	-1.000E(-20)	9.436896(-16)
0.500	-0.64872127070012814680	-0.64872127070012814679	-1.000E(-20)	2.109424(-15)
0.600	-0.82211880039050897490	-0.82211880039050897478	-1.000E(-19)	3.219647(-15)
0.700	-1.01375270747047652160	-1.01375270747047652150	-1.000E(-19)	4.440892(-15)
0.800	-1.22554092849246760460	-1.22554092849246760440	-2.000E(-19)	5.995204(-15)
0.900	-1.45960311115694966380	-1.45960311115694966350	-3.000E(-19)	7.771561(-15)
1.00	-1.71828182845904523540	-1.71828182845904523500	-4.000E(-19)	1.065814(-14)

Table 2: Comparison of the proposed new method




Figure 1: Absolute Stability Region

Using Mat Lab software, the absolute stability region of the new method is plotted and shown in Figure 1.

Numerical Example

Problem I: We consider a highly stiff problem

$$y'' + 1001y' + 1000y, \quad y(0) = 1, y'(0) = -1$$

$$\text{Exact Solution: } y(x) = \exp(-x) \quad h = \frac{1}{10}$$

Problem II:

$$f(x, y, y') = y', \quad y(0) = 1, y'(0) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1.$$

$$\text{Exact Solution: } y(x) = 1 - e^x \quad \text{with } h = \frac{1}{100}$$

Conclusion

It is evident from the above tables that our proposed methods are indeed accurate, and can handle stiff equations. Also in terms of stability analysis, the method is A-stable.

Comparing the new method with the existing method, the result presented in the Tables 1 and 2 shows that the new method performs better than the existing method, and even the order of new method is higher than the order of the existing method [11,18]. In this article, a one-step block method with three off-step points is derived via the interpolation and collocation approach. The developed method is consistent, A-stable, convergent, with a region of absolute stability and order Ten.

References

- Z Omar, M Suleiman. Parallel r-point implicit block method for solving higher order ordinary differential equations directly. J ICT, 2004;3(1):53-66.
- SJ kayoed, O Adeyeye. A 3-step hybrid method for direct solution of second order initial value problems. Aust J Basic Appl Sci. 2011;5(12):2121-2126.
- A James, A Adesanya, S Joshua. Continuous block method for the solution of second order initial value problems of ordinary differential equation. Int J Pure Appl Math. 2013;83(3):405-416.
- RA Bun, TD Vasil's. A numerical method for solving Differential equations of any Order Comp. Math Phys. 1992;32(3):317-330.
- DO Awoyemi, AO Adesanya, SN Ogunyebi. Construction of Self Starting Numerical Method for the Solution of initial value Problem of General Second Ordinary Differential Equation. Journ Num math. 2008;4(2):267-278.
- SO Fatunla. Block methods for second order. Int J Comput Maths. 2007;41(1-2):55-63.
- BT Olabode. An accurate scheme by block method for the third order ordinary differential equation. Pacific journal of science and technology. 2009;10(1).
- J Vigo-Aguilar, H Ramos. Variable stepsize implementation of multistep methods for $y'' = f(x, y, y')$. J Comput Appl Math. 2006;192(1):114-131.
- AO Adeniran, BS Ogundare. An efficient hybrid numerical scheme for solving general second order Initial Value Problems (IVPs). International Journal of Applied Mathematical Research. 2015;4(2):411-419.
- R Abdelrahim, O Zurni. Direct Solution of Second-Order Ordinary Differential Equation Using a Single-Step Hybrid Block Method of Order Five. Mathematical and Computational Applications. 2016;21(2):12.
- A Mohammad, O Zurni. Implicit One-Step Block Hybrid Third-Derivative Method for the Direct Solution of Initial Value Problems of Second-Order Ordinary Differential Equations. Hindawi Journal of Applied Mathematics. 2017.
- SN Jator. A six order linear multi step method for the direct solution of $y'' = f(x, y, y')$. Intern J Pure and Applied of Maths. 2007;40(4):457-472.
- Jator SN, Li J. A self-starting linear multistep method for direct solution general second order initial value problems. Intern J Comput Math. 2009;86(5):827-836.
- ZA Majid, MB Sulaiman, Z Omar. 3 points implicit block method for solving ordinary differential equations, Bull. Malaysia Mathematical Science Soc. 2006;29(1) 23-31.
- DO Awoyemi, EA Adebile, AO Adesanya, TA Anake. Modified block for the direct solution for the second order ordinary differential equations. Intern J Applied Math and Comp. 2011;3(3):181-188.
- Anake TA, Awoyeni DO, Adesanya AO. A one step method for the solution of general second order ordinary differential equations. Intern J Sci and Engin. 2012;2(4):159-163.
- Adesanya AO, Odekule MR, Alkali MA. Three steps block predictor-block Corrector method for solution of general second order of ordinary differential equations. Intern J Engin Research and Application. 2012;2(4):2297-2301.
- M Alkasassbeh, Z Omar. Generalized two-hybrid One-Step Implicit Third derivatives block method for the Direct Solution of Second Order Ordinary Differential Equations. International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics. 2017;112(3):497-517.